CSE 127: Introduction to Security

Lecture 18: Privacy and Anonymity / Policy and Ethics

George Obaido

UCSD

Spring 2022

Some material from Deian Stefan and Nadia Heninger

Lecture outline

- Foundations of privacy
- Privacy-enhancing technologies
 - PGP and modern encrypted messaging
 - Tor and anonymous communication
 - Privacy-respecting browsers (Tor, Firefox)
- · Ethical principles
- · Laws relevant to security research and practice

What is privacy and why do we care?

Various definitions of privacy:

- Secrecy
- Anonymity
- Solitude

Human rights and values:

- Human dignity
- Mental health
- Intimacy/relationships

Political and democratic values:

- Liberty of action
- Moral autonomy

The "crypto wars": privacy vs. wiretapping

- Crypto wars 1.0
 - Late 1970s,
 - US government threatened legal sanctions on researchers who published papers about cryptography.
 - Threats to retroactively classify cryptography research.
- Crypto wars 2.0
 - 1990s
 - Main issues: Export control and key escrow
 - Several legal challenges
- Crypto wars 3.0
 - Now
 - Snowden
 - Apple v. FBI
 - ...?
 - · Calls for "balance"

Why is anonymous communication hard?



Why is anonymous communication hard?



Communications/network service providers (ISPs, Google, Facebook, etc.) can generally see all traffc or communications they handle.

Why is anonymous communication hard?



Under the Stored Communications Act (1986), the US government can compel service providers to turn over customer communications. Only requires a subpoena for "storage" or communications held longer than 180 days.

End-to-end encryption and service providers



If a message is end-to-end encrypted, the service provider may not have the plaintext.

End-to-end encryption and service providers



Law enforcement can always serve the customer with a search warrant for the decrypted communications.

End-to-end encryption and service providers

"Key escrow" or "backdoored encryption"



The US government has been asking service providers to design ways to overcome encryption for decades. Most reasonable proposals work something like this.

Pretty Good Privacy (PGP)

- Written by Phil Zimmermann in 1991
 - Response to US Senate bill requiring crypto backdoors (didn't pass)
- Public key email encryption "for the masses"
 - Signatures, public key encryption, or sign+encrypt
- Key management
 - Public keyservers
 - Web of trust: users sign other users' keys
- Grand jury investigated Zimmermann 1993–1996
 - No indictment issued, but was a subject for violating export controls
- Fundamental insight: Knowledge about cryptography is public. In theory, citizens can circumvent government-mandated key escrow by implementing cryptography themselves.

PGP in the modern era

- PGP was built before modern cryptographic protocol design was properly understood.
- Numerous vulnerabilities
- GnuPGP and libgcrypt open source and quite widely used
- Usability issues: most experts unable to use PGP properly
 - "Why Johnny Can't Encrypt: A Usability Evaluation of PGP 5.0" by Whitten and Tygar
 - "Why Johnny Still, Still Can't Encrypt: Evaluating the Usability of a Modern PGP Client" by Ruoti et al.



https://xkcd.com/1181/

"If you want to be extra safe, check that there's a big block of jumbled characters at the bottom."

Message Encryption since PGP

- For messaging, Signal, WhatsApp, or iMessage offer modern end-to-end encryption.
- Modern protocols typically:
 - Use Diffe-Hellman to negotiate ephemeral keys
 - Use long-term authentication keys with out-of-band fingerprint verification
 - Offer "forward secrecy":
 - In theory, protects against key compromise at time t revealing plaintext of previous messages
 - If sender or recipient store plaintext, this is more likely point of compromise
 - Offer "deniability":
 - Message recipient can verify message integrity without a third party being able to "cryptographically prove" that sender sent the message.
 - Cryptographically interesting, but likely legally irrelevant.

Crypto Wars 2.0

In the current debates about government-mandated weakening of cryptography, there are two scenarios of interest:

- Message encryption.
 - This is what we've talked about so far in lecture.
- Storage encryption.
 - For example, unlocking iPhones.
 - This is what the Apple v. FBI case was about.

In Apple v. FBI, the question was whether the government could compel Apple to break their own encryption mechanism with the All Writs Act. The government backed down and reportedly used a specialty consulting firm to unlock the phone.

Anonymity

Michael Hayden, former NSA director: "We kill people based on metadata."

- Long history of anonymous communication in US democracy
- e.g. Revolutionary war anonymous political pamphlets

Technical question: Is anonymous communication still feasible on the internet?

"Anonymity" via tunneling or proxies



Alice

Bob

A proxy can rewrite metadata. Examples:

- Early "anonymous remailers" forwarded email.
- · VPN services allow users to tunnel traffic

"Anonymity" via tunneling or proxies



One-hop proxies have a single point of failure, must see both sides of communication.

Tor: Anonymous communication for TCP sessions

Desired properties:

- Network attacker watching client traffic can't see destination.
- Destination server does not see client IP address.
- Network nodes can't link client and server.
- Fast enough to support TCP streams and network applications.

Current state: A nonprofit organization, active academic research, deployed around the world.

Not perfect, but a building block.



(U) What is TOR?



- (U) "The Onion Router"
- (U) Enables anonymous internet activity
 - General privacy
 - Non-attribution
 - Circumvention of nation state internet policies
- (U) Hundreds of thousands of users
 - Dissidents (Iran, China, etc)
 - (S//SI//REL) Terrorists!
 - (S//SI//REL) Other targets too!

TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, AUS, CAN, GBR, NZL



(U) What is TOR?





TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, AUS, CAN, GBR, NZI

TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, AUS, CAN, GBR, NZL



(U) What is TOR?





TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, AUS, CAN, GBR, NZI

Tor also allows "anonymous" servers

00				Welcome! Silk	Road			
< <u>▶</u> - @ × (http://ianxz6zel	k72ulzz.onion/index.php			😭 🔻 🚷 👔 👔		
lost Visited - Learn more ab	out Tor T	he Tor Blog						
Are you using Tor?	0	list of TOR s	ites silkroad - Goo 😳	TORDIR - Link Li	st 🖸 📄 Welcome! Silk Road	0 +		
		place			messages(0) orders(0)	Welcome account(80) settings	log ou)夏(0)	
Shop by category: Cannabis(203) Ecstasy(35) Psychedelics(127)					Step-by-step: 1. Get anonymous money 2. Buy something here 3. Enjoy it when it arrives!			
Opioids(39) Stimulants(68) Dissociatives(9) Other(197) Benzos(43)	1 hit of LSD 1/8 o (blotter) qualit 80.58 82.0		1/8 oz high quality cannabis 82.05	1 g pure MDMA (white) \$1.28	Vacation mode. Important info for sellers			
recent feedback:								
seller	rating	feedback						
1UP of Canada(97)	4 of 5	amazing weed. the only reason this is not a 5 is because the package was so tightly double vaccuum sealed that the product was flattened, which 1 know is necessary for security but it still decreases quality						
CaliforniaSunrise	5 of 5	Fast shipping. Nice packaging. I haven't tried the chocolate yet, but it looks tasty! Smooth transaction.						
Rook	5 of 5	all good! thanks so much!						
illy	5 of 5	Very friendly. Fast Shipping. Great packaging.						
somatik	5 of 5	Order arrived guickly and as described. Thanks!						
gamely54	5 of 5	No issue at all, I officially recommend this seller. Now go forth and purchase from him!						
mellowyellow	5 of 5	Item arrived quickly and as described, good communication. This guy's legit.						
dirtysouf(100)	5 of 5	looks good						

vice.com

In practice, prominent "hidden services" deanonymized through real-world metadata, browser 0days, misconfigured servers.

Anonymity on the web

- Companies like Google, Facebook, Twitter, Microsoft, Amazon, Target, Walmart, ... make a lot of money from tracking users.
- For some of these companies you are the product. So tracking you is their business.
- How do websites track users?
 - Third-party cookies: recall that cookies for trackme.com are sent with any request to trackme.com, even if you're on cnn.com.
 - Tracking content: Sites include tracking code into URLs (e.g., advertisements, videos, marketing emails, etc.)
 - Fingerprinting: sites profile your browser, extensions, OS, hardware, screen resolution, fonts you have installed, etc.

What can you do about this?

- Can't really avoid these platforms (e.g., Facebook profiles you even if you don't have an account).
- Use a browser that cares about your privacy (e.g., Firefox, The Tor Browser, Brave, Safari)
- Use privacy-enhancing browser extensions

Privacy-enhanced browsing (Firefox)



Privacy-enhanced browsing (Tor)

Security

Security Level Disable certain web features that can be used to attack your security and anonymity.

Learn more

Standard

All Tor Browser and website features are enabled.

Safer

Disables website features that are often dangerous, causing some sites to lose functionality.

JavaScript is disabled on non-HTTPS sites.

Some fonts and math symbols are disabled.

Audio and video (HTML5 media), and WebGL are click-to-play.

Safest

Only allows website features required for static sites and basic services. These changes affect images, media, and scripts.

JavaScript is disabled by default on all sites.

Some fonts, icons, math symbols, and images are disabled.

Audio and video (HTML5 media), and WebGL are click-to-play.

Privacy-enhanced browsing (Brave & Safari)



Privacy-enchaning extensions

 Privacy Badger blocks trackers; uBlock Origin blocks ads; many others



Privacy-enchaning extensions

 Privacy Badger blocks trackers; uBlock Origin blocks ads; many others



Neither the President nor his attorneys will take part in Wednesday's impeachment hearing, the White House has told the House Judiciary Committee

Lecture outline

- Foundations of privacy
- Privacy-enhancing technologies
 - PGP and modern encrypted messaging
 - Tor and anonymous communication
 - Privacy-respecting browsers (Tor, Firefox, Brave)
- Ethical principles
- · Laws relevant to security research and practice

Overarching principles/lessons

- Ethics: Try to be a good person. Be thoughtful about your actions and their effects on yourself and others.
- Legal issues: Don't violate laws.
- If lawyers or law enforcement are involved, you have already lost. It doesn't matter if you could in theory win the case in the end.

Legal/ethical principle: Property rights

Respect other people's property.

Example: Hacking your own password.

- On your own machine: Probably ok. (Possible exception: DMCA.)
- On someone else's machine: Get permission or else it's probably not ok. (Might be CFAA violation under Terms of Service interpretation.)

Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (CFAA)

18 U.S. CODE §1030 - FRAUD AND RELATED ACTIVITY IN CONNECTION WITH COMPUTERS

Whoever intentionally accesses a computer without authorization or exceeds authorized access, and thereby obtains information from any protected computer...

The punishment for an offense...

- a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than one year, or both...,
- a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 5 years, or both... if—
 - (i) the offense was committed for purposes of commercial advantage or private financial gain;
 - (ii) the offense was committed in furtherance of any criminal or tortious act...; or
 - (iii) the value of the information obtained exceeds \$5,000

Remember Aaron Swartz's CFAA case

- Scraped JStor from MIT's network and evaded numerous blocking attempts.
- Prosecuted for violating the Terms of Service of JStor even though JStor did not want to prosecute.
- Property owners: MIT, JStor, article authors
- Swartz had already been investigated for scraping public court records



https://docs.jstor.org/

Ethical Principle: Minimizing harm

Ethical research involves trying to minimize harm.

Example: SYN scanning

- Scanning public hosts is legal, but generates many complaints.
- Depends on intended use: Used by attackers to find vulnerable hosts, used by researchers to measure networks.
- Doing research on open networks means understanding and following best practices:
 - · Publicly identifying the purpose of the research
 - Providing an opt-out mechanism
 - Not launching attacks
 - Avoiding overwhelming your or others' networks or crashing hosts
 - Etc.

Ethical principle: Minimizing harm

Example: Botherding

https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-49127569

- Botherding is taking over a botnet
- Is this ethical or not?
 - Interfering with a legal botnet is definitely illegal.
 - Marcus Hutchins was celebrated for activating a "kill switch" in WannaCry malware that halted infections.
 - Is taking over a botnet for research purposes ethical? It is pursuing illegal activity to study illegal activity.

Your Botnet is My Botnet: Analysis of a Botnet Takeover

Brett Stone-Gross, Marco Cova, Lorenzo Cavallaro, Bob Gilbert, Martin Szydlowski, Richard Kemmerer, Christopher Kruegel, and Giovanni Vigna

> University of California, Santa Barbara [bstone,marco,sullivan,rgilbert,msz,kemm,chris,vigna]@cs.ucsb.edu

ABSTRACT

Botnets, networks of malware-infected machines that are controlled by an adversary, are the root cause of a large number of security problems on the Internet. A particularly sophisticated and insidious type of bot is Torpig, a malware program that is designed to One approach to study botnets is to perform *passive analysis* of secondary effects that are caused by the activity of compromised machines. For example, researchers have collected spam mails that were likely sent by bots [47]. Through this, they were able to make indirect observations about the sizes and activities of different spam botters. Similar measurement forward on DNS uneites [43] as

Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA)

17 U.S. Code § 1201 - Circumvention of copyright protection systems

Current through Pub. L. <u>113–86</u>, except <u>113–79</u>. (See <u>Public Laws for the current</u> <u>Congress</u>.)



(a) Violations Regarding Circumvention of Technological Measures.—

(1)

(A) No person shall circumvent a technological measure that effectively controls access to a work protected under this title. The prohibition contained in the preceding sentence shall take effect at the end of the 2-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this chapter.

DMCA cases

- 2010 US v. Crippen, rare criminal DMCA prosecution of Xbox modder
- 2002 Bunnie Huang Xbox key extraction
 - MIT did not support his work, AI Lab published his work and reached an agreement with Microsoft



DMCA Exemptions

Every three years, the Library of Congress considers exemptions to the DMCA.

- · 2010: Phone jailbreaking
- · 2016: Security research

Accordingly, based on the Register's recommendation, the Librarian adopts the

following exemption:

(i) Computer programs, where the circumvention is undertaken on a lawfully acquired device or machine on which the computer program operates solely for the purpose of good-failth security research and does not violate any applicable law, including without limitation the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act of 1986, as amended and codified in title 18, United States Code; and provided, however, that, except as to voting machines, such circumvention is initiated no earlier than 12 months after the effective date of this regulation, and the device or machine is one of the following:

(2) Permissible acts of encryption research.— Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a)(1)(A), it is not a violation of that subsection for a person to circumvent a technological measure as applied to a copy, phonorecord, performance, or display of a published work in the course of an act of good faith encryption research if—

 (A) the person lawfully obtained the encrypted copy, phonorecord, performance, or display of the published work;

(B) such act is necessary to conduct such encryption research;

(C) the person made a good faith effort to obtain authorization before the circumvention; and

(D) such act does not constitute infringement under this title or a violation of applicable law other than this section, including section <u>1030</u> of title <u>18</u> and those provisions of title <u>18</u> amended by the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act of <u>1986</u>.

Personal and Privacy Rights

Principle: Informed consent

- Human subjects research should go through ethical review
 - At a university, this is done by IRB
 - Some companies now have review processes
- Human subjects research includes any collection of Personally Identifiable Information

Judge Confirms Government Paid CMU Scientists to Hack Tor Users for FBI

🛗 February 25, 2016 🔒 Swati Khandelwal



Everything is now crystal clear:

The security researchers from Camegie Mellon University (CMU) were hired by the federal officials to discover a technique that could help the FBI Unmask Tor users and Reveal their IP addresses as part of a criminal investigation.

Yes, a federal judge in Washington has recently confirmed that the computer scientists at CMU's Software Engineering Institute (SEI) were indeed behind a hack of the TOR project in 2014, according to court documents [PDF] field Tuesday.

In November 2015, The Hacker News reported that Tor Project Director Roger Dingledine accused the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) of paying the CMU, at least, \$1 Million for providing information that led to the criminal suspects identification on the Dark Web.

After this news had broken, the FBI denied the claims, saying "The allegation that we paid [CMU] \$1 Million to hack into TOR is inaccurate."

Informed consent

Example: Jason Fortuny posted fake sex ad on Craigslist as a woman in 2006

- · Received hundreds of replies, posted them all online
- · Unethical? Yes.
- Illegal? Unclear.
 - Encyclopedia Dramatica received DMCA takedown notice.
 - Sued in Illinois by anonymous victim, default \$75k
 judgement

Legal foundations of privacy

In US, 14th amendment: "nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law"

Interpreted as right to privacy by 20th century supreme court:

- Legality of contraception
- Roe v. Wade

Rcent administration trying to FUBAR

Wiretapping

18 U.S. Code § 2511 - Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited

Current through Pub. L. 113-296, except 113-287, 113-291, 113-295. (See Public Laws for the current Congress.)

US Code Notes

prev | next

(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any person who-

(a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;

(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other person to use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to intercept any oral communication when—

(i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a signal through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire communication; or

(ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or interferes with the transmission of such communication; or

(iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such device or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce; or

(iv) such use or endeavor to use (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or (B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information relating to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or

California is a "two-party consent" state. All parties in a conversation must consent for it to be recorded.

Snowden leaked FISA order for all Verizon Business customer information in 2013

IN RE APPLICATION OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOR AN ORDER REOUIRING THE Docket Number: BR PRODUCTION OF TANGIBLE THINGS. FROM VERIZON BUSINESS NETWORK SERVICES. INC. ON BEHALF OF MCI COMMUNICATION SERVICES, INC. D/B/A VERIZON BUSINESS SERVICES.

13-80

SECONDARY ORDER

This Court having found that the Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) for an Order requiring the production of tangible things from Verizon Business Network Services. Inc. on behalf of MCI Communication Services Inc., d/b/a Verizon Business Services (individually and collectively "Verizon") satisfies the requirements of 50 U.S.C. § 1861,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, the Custodian of Records shall produce to the National Security Agency (NSA) upon service of this Order, and continue production

TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

Derived from: Declassify on: Pleadings in the above-captioned docket 12 April 2038

on an ongoing daily basis thereafter for the duration of this Order, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, an electronic copy of the following tangible things: all call detail records or "telephony metadata" created by Verizon for communications (i) between the United States and abroad; or (ii) wholly within the United States, including local telephone calls. This Order does not require Verizon to produce telephony metadata for communications wholly originating and terminating in foreign countries. Telephony metadata includes comprehensive communications routing information, including but not limited to session identifying information (e.g., originating and terminating telephone number. International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) number. International Mobile station Equipment Identity (IMEI) number, etc.), trunk identifier, telephone calling card numbers, and time and duration of call. Telephony metadata does not include the substantive content of any communication, as defined by 18 U.S.C. § 2510(8), or the name, address, or financial information of a subscriber or customer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no person shall disclose to any other person that the FBI or NSA has sought or obtained tangible things under this Order, other than to: (a) those persons to whom disclosure is necessary to comply with such Order; (b) an attorney to obtain legal advice or assistance with respect to the production of things in

Updated FISA orders have continued to be approved.

RELATED TOPICS							
Politics »							
Tech »							

(Reuters) - Security industry pioneer RSA adopted not just one but two encryption tools developed by the U.S. National Security Agency, greatly increasing the spy agency's ability to eavesdrop on some Internet communications, according to a

team of academic researchers.

Reuters reported in December that the NSA had paid RSA \$10 million to make a nowdiscredited cryptography system the default in software used by a wide range of Internet and computer security programs. The system, called Dual Elliptic Curve, was a random number generator, but it had a deliberate flaw - or "back door" - that allowed the NSA to crack the encryption.

A group of professors from Johns Hopkins, the University of Wisconsin, the University of Illinois and elsewhere now say they have discovered that a second NSA tool exacerbated the RSA software's vulnerability.

The professors found that the tool, known as the "Extended Random" extension for secure websites, could help crack a version of RSA's Dual Elliptic Curve software tens of thousands of times faster, according to an advance copy of their research shared with Reuters.

While Extended Random was not widely adopted, the new research sheds light on how the NSA extended the reach of its surveillance under cover of advising companies on protection.

Law Enforcement Access Policy

Policy/ethics question: Is it preferable to have law enforcement/intelligence:

- Stockpile software vulnerabilities, write targeted malware, and hack into targets when desired
- Mandate encryption backdoors or otherwise enable
 mass surveillance

The FBI's Firefox Exploit

By Nicholas Weaver Thursday, April 7, 2016, 8:43 AM



Lawfare contributors are having an interesting debate (with dinners and drinks on the line) about whether and why the FBI might reveal the details of the exploit used to unlock the San Bernardino iPhone. My guess is that the FBI will inadvertently release so many details in aiding local law enforcement that the question becomes moot: we will at least learn whether the exploit uses the USB connection or attacks through the cellular "baseband," as well as whether the exploit works on current versions or has already been patched by Apple.

But another fight over vulnerability disclosure is far more interesting and getting far less attention. The FBI is apparently hoarding a Tor Browser exploit which it used to target visitors of the "Playpen" child porn site. I've previously discussed how the FBI wrote the warrant to hack over a thousand targets. Now the FBI is fighting defense efforts to examine the exploit itself despite an order requiring the FBI to reveal the exploit to the defense.

The Tor Browser is simply Firefox running in a hardened mode. While many Firefox exploits will not work against the Tor browser—particularly those relying on Flash—the converse is not necessarily true. To the contrary, any Tor browser exploit is almost certainly a Firefox exploit too.

Unintended Consequences of Law Enforcement Access

- · 2004 Greek wiretapping scandal
 - Greek politicians wiretapped through law enforcement access system present on phone network
- 2010 China Google hack
 - Came in through law enforcement access portal

https://www.theguardian.com/business/2006/feb/07/newmedia.media

 $https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/chinese-hackers-who-breached-google-gained-access-to-sensitive-data-us-officials-say/2013/05/20/51330428-be34-11e2-89c9-3be8095fe767_story.html$

Disclosure options for security flaws

- · Report to vendor only
- Report to vendor and receive bug bounty
- Report to vendor, wait for fix, report to public ("responsible disclosure")
- · Report in full to public immediately ("full disclosure")
- Tell no one
- Sell vulnerability to middleman and don't report to vendor

The process of reporting vulnerabilities

- · Some vendors have sensible reporting process
 - E.g., Firefox and Chrome teams respond and react quickly, easy to work with on fixing bugs, etc.
- Some vendors less so
 - E.g., Send email through an intermediary, receive ACK, no real conversation.
 - E.g., Send email, poke individual folks for replies, no replies. Give up.
- Some vendors are playing catch up
- Some vendors are the worst: they will try to gag/sue you

Bug bounty programs

- Many vendors have bug bounty programs: \$\$ for bugs
 - Mozilla and Google will even run your checkers and pay you if the checkers find real bugs
- Our students made ≈\$3K per bug!

	High-quality report with functional exploit	High-quality report	Baseline	
Sandbox escape / Memory corruption in a non-sandboxed process	\$30,000	\$20,000	\$5,000 - \$15,000	
Universal Cross Site Scripting	\$20,000	\$15,000	\$2,000 - \$10,000	
Renderer RCE / memory corruption in a sandboxed process	\$10,000	\$7,500	\$2,000 - <mark>\$</mark> 5,000	
Security UI Spoofing	\$7,500	N/A [1]	\$500 - \$3,000	
User information disclosure	\$5,000 - \$20,000	N/A [1]	\$500 - \$2,000	
Web Platform Privilege Escalation	\$5,000	\$3,000	\$500 - \$1,000	
Exploitation Mitigation Bypass	\$5,000	\$3,000	\$500 - \$1,000	
Chrome OS	See below			
Chrome Fuzzer Bonus	\$1,000			
Chrome Patch Bonus	\$500 - \$2,000			

Policy questions around security research

- · Should exploit sales be legal?
 - Code as speech principle says yes
 - · Is publishing exploits ethical?
- · How about mixed-use tools?
 - Privacy tools like Tor or encrypted messengers used by criminals, normal people, activists
 - Random darknet shopper art piece?

Have a great end of the quarter! Good luck on the final!